

# Why we need to vote for people with a Godly World View

## *The Long Elevator Pitch*

1. All laws legislate *someone's* morality. Thus, the moral values of any legislator will determine the morality of our nation's laws.
2. We are a republic not a democracy.
3. In a republic, we make decisions and new "lesser" laws *not* based on mob rule like a democracy *but* on a fixed primary moral code. These new lesser laws are to be guided by the conscience of the legislator in submission to the original moral code.
4. Yet, being a republic is no guarantee of success. There have been many republics like the Romans and the Nazis which have fallen apart. We can make the case that they failed because their moral code was not transcendent and was changed slowly by the masses, dictator or oligarchy until it destroyed the society.
5. It can be proved logically that the original source transcendent and fixed primary moral code must be *objective* and *cannot*<sup>1</sup> be determined by the masses (a mobocracy) or the elite (an oligarchy) or a monarchy (a dictator or king) or each individual (an anarchy), or a "thing" like DNA (stupidity). The objective moral code can logically only be determined by *a being* who has supreme authority over all mankind and is omniscient.
6. We can prove that logically, the Authority, who is the source of the objective moral code can *only* be the Supreme Law Giver, the Creator of mankind, i.e. God.
7. However, when we claim *that*, we have to be able to prove which God truly and objectively exists. To do that we need science, reason, history and objective truth. This proof is known as Apologetics. There is *only* one God that is proven by any Apologetics (facts and reason and history), and that is the Judeo-Christian God.
8. The United States was founded on Judeo-Christian principles. So the supreme law and authority that all our laws were based on, was always intended by the founders to be the laws given by the Judeo-Christian God. Not a "religion" but a system of natural unchanging moral laws. If you disagree with this then you render not only the Constitution meaningless but you create an unstable house of cards. Why? Because then you have no valid source for our unalienable rights. These rights are the justification for our revolution and the basis for our constitution and the freedom of our population.
9. The Judeo-Christian God's morals are conveyed to us through the Bible which can be statistically and historically proven to have been accurately transmitted (OT for the Jews).

---

<sup>1</sup> I would use "cannot" and not "must not." Because it's logically fallacious to use the masses or DNA or a society etc. to determine objective morality.

10. In a republic, all laws that are derived from the transcendent moral code are to be evaluated based on the conscience of the legislator (not on desire of the masses). Anyone who rejects that objective moral law written in the Bible has a misguided conscience and thus a faulty basis for his lawmaking. They do not understand the sin nature of man or believe that our rights come from God. Anyone with a faulty understanding of how we get there from here will have a faulty basis for his legislation. And this ends up being a disaster in the making. They will eventually erode our rights, lead us to either a mobocracy, an oligarchy, or monarchy and cause lots of pain and suffering in the process. Therefore, a social moderate is not fit to be a lawmaker or an executor of the Law. He will be dangerous.
11. We can statistically show that immoral laws about divorce have destroyed families, increased crime, rapes, suicides, gangs and welfare. Immoral laws like welfare promote the breakup of the family and lower the productivity and tax base of the nation. Similarly, a pro-choice representative displays his rebellion to the transcendent moral code. Being only fiscally conservative i.e. a Just Fiscal Conservative is dealing with the symptoms (the high cost of bad governing) and not the cause (the bad moral laws that *cause* human suffering and the need for social programs). Why do you think social costs are rising not falling? It's like addressing the symptoms of malaria but not eradicating the cause of malaria. You can cost cut all you want, but eventually you will go bankrupt. And that's exactly what is happening today.
12. Thus we can conclude that Just Fiscal Conservatives are a bad idea and will cause pain to our nation and its people. They will legislate relativistic laws that will bring pain and suffering to all of us. We should only vote for them if they are the last resort.